

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIDMATION NO
ATTECATION NO.	TIEING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,632	07/03/2003	Mark C. Carter	EZUP:64972	4614
24201	7590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
FULWIDE	R PATTON LEE & U	YIP, WINNIE S		
HOWARD	HUGHES CENTER			
6060 CENT	ER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TENTH FLO	OOR	3637		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045		DATE MAILED, 06/24/2004	•
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/613,632	CARTER, MARK C.	8
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
		Winnie Yip	3637	
The MAILING DATE of th	is communication app	•	with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is leterally in the set of extended Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	COMMUNICATION. r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply he maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (33 U.S.C. & 133)	on.
Status				
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on 02 Ap	ril 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in			tters, prosecution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pend	ing in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s)		n from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allo		ir irom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are reject				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objecte				
8) Claim(s) are subjecte		election requirement		
,,	ct to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b) \square objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request th			• •	
			g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign բ	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)		•		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the	he priority documents	have been received.		
		have been received in A	Application No.	
			received in this National Stage	
	International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed C			received.	
		·		
•				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir 		4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F 	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2/2004</u> .	-	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200406	11
		** *	apor inoliniali Dale 200400	

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 2, 2004 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 1-17 are considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below:

Claim Objections

1. Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. The subject matter of claim 16 has been recited in the previous claim 1. Applicant is required to cancel the claim, or amend the claim to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,632

Art Unit: 3637

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,601,599 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a canopy shelter comprising a plurality of legs, an extendible perimeter assembly of link members, a canopy assembly which includes pole members, pivoting link members pivotally connected to the pole members and to the legs, and a plurality of support strut members pivotally connected to a crank arranged in combination or subcombination claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See MPEP § 804.

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,470,902 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a canopy shelter comprising a plurality of legs, an extendible perimeter assembly of

Art Unit: 3637

link members, a canopy assembly which includes pole members, pivoting link members pivotally connected to the pole members and to the legs, the pivoting link members being rotated outwardly respective to downward forces, and a plurality of support strut members pivotally connected to a shaft and a crank arranged in combination or subcombination claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See MPEP § 804.

Inquiries Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Winnie Yip whose telephone number is 703-308-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:30), Second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3637